CoBaTrICE
CoBaForum (The CoBaTrICE network) Meeting
Wednesday, October 5, 2016, 10:45-13:00 – MiCo Milano Congressi (Room BM3)

Present: HU Rothen (HUR, chair), J Bion, A Castellanos, F Duska, L Galarza, G Sigurdsson, N Weiler, A Wong J De Waele (BE), M Bestle (DK), T Ala-Kokko (FI), F Petrini (IT), E van Bockel (NL), B Tamowicz (PL), J Blanco (ES), Y Dikmen (TK), M Uyar (TK), C Kirakli (TK), nnb. (Sweden), nnb. (Portugal)

Guest: Jaap Tulleken

Excused: A Batchelor, K Prelar, F Saulnier, C Sitzwohl, M Terblanche, V Furhmann

Protocol: D De Boom

The minutes of the CoBa Forum 6th meeting held on October 7, 2015 were approved as fair copy.

CoBaTrICE: Actual State (HUR)
HUR informed the audience that the majority of the CoBa Faculty members are finishing their office at the GA of LIVES 2017 in Vienna. Some may renew their mandate, but HUR, F Saulnier and G Sigurdsson may not. HUR asked the Forum to look for new “blood” but also to keep continuity in the achievement. -> if you are interested, or have any further suggestions, please contact HUR.

CoBaTrICE list of competencies V.2.0 to be submitted to ICM journal (lead: F Rubulotta, HUR).

CoBaTrICE list of competencies and website serve as basic structure for e-learning (see also below).

Report from EBICM Meeting (HUR)
EBICM met on Friday Sept 29, 2016. During the meeting, JDC informed the participants about the latest developments in the recognition process of ICM as a medical (sub)-speciality.

In October 2014, the Common Training Framework (CTF) was adopted by the UEMS Council. Since then, EBICM started to approach the NTOs in view to adopt CTF at national level. This was a hard task and the EBICM President and co-Chair faced some difficulties in getting positive answers. UEMS also informed EBICM that the EU Members States are no longer in favour of a CTF; some are even reluctant to adopt the concept, although everyone agreed on it in the past. Therefore, the current document called CTF must change of title, and should become the European Training Requirements (ETR). However, the content remains unchanged. -> New strategy to be elaborated by EBICM.

From the survey conducted in Spring 2016 among the CoBaForum members, it appears the following statement regarding the postgraduate training in intensive care medicine (total approached: 35, responding: 25):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>country</th>
<th>any ICU may provide PG training in ICM</th>
<th>only specifically certified ICUs</th>
<th>ICUs of University hospitals (with/without certification)</th>
<th>One specific national or regional PG training programme/network</th>
<th>More than one national or regional training programme/network</th>
<th>No specific rules</th>
<th>PG training in ICM is part of other medical specialties</th>
<th>other rules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total of 35 countries</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICM specialists: Report from the task force of WFSICCM (N Weiler)
The World Federation of Societies of Intensive and Critical Care Medicine has set up a task force to address issues concerning the training, functions, roles, and responsibilities of an ICU specialist. The report of this TF has been published in Journal of Critical Care, Volume 35, Pages 223–228.

Further details, see separate file (addendum).

NEXT Survey: Ultrasound in the ICU (A Wong, L Galarza)
A Wong presented the results of their survey on how the training programme is perceived by the surveyed trainees and how their status is considered.
The survey shows that only 56.2% of the respondents (324) know what CoBaTrICE is, however 64.5% feel that the competencies are clearly defined in their ICM training programme. The top 3 priority topics to be improved in their programme are the clinical teaching structure, the mentoring programme and the possibility to attend courses/scientific congresses. Also 89% are interested in having the opportunity to attend a part of their training (preferably a clinical fellowship) abroad.

L Galarza presented the trainees’ perspective on ultrasound in the ICU. In the current CoBa list of competencies, there is no syllabus on how to perform and interpret general critical care ultrasonography (thoracic, abdominal and vascular), and on how to describe the indication for echocardiography, abdominal ultrasound and other imaging modalities. The EDEC curriculum for advanced echocardiography is very clear on how the skills (number of scans, courses ...) should be attained, and the same clarity should be applied to the basic level.

-> Proposals made in attached document (CoBa Echo syllabus 29-11-2016, addendum)

**Developing Entrustable professional activities (EPA) in a PG training programme for ICM (J Tulleken)**

**Basic Concepts:** The EPAs were designed to link competencies to clinical practice and make them feasible. The EPAs—tasks or responsibilities that can be entrusted to a trainee once sufficient, specific competence is reached to allow for unsupervised execution—are now being defined in various health care domains. Because EPAs represent what physicians do in daily practice, the new language can be briefer and less complicated. EPA can be seen as a unit of professional practice (task) that can be entrusted to a sufficiently competent learner. EPA can be allocated to individuals, executable within a time frame, observable and measurable, suitable for entrustment decision, and gives an assessment result framed as permission with designated level of supervision.

EPAs include five levels of supervision, reflecting increasing trust in trainee autonomy:
1. Presence but no permission to enact EPA
2. Practice EPA with direct (pro-active) supervision
3. Practice EPA with indirect (re-active) supervision
4. Unsupervised practice allowed (distant oversight)
5. EPA may be supervised for junior learners

Further details, see separate file (addendum).

The members of CoBaForum express their interest for this new, attractive concept. HUR proposes to take up the topic in future meetings.

**E-learning platform (K Preller)**

The actual state of e-learning platform is presented by Kobus. Overall, the new tool looks attractive and the members of CoBaForum look forward to see practical implementation(s).

**What does my NTO and my national society expect from CoBaTrICE? What expects ESICM form myself (all)**

Due to lack of time, this topic has to be moved to the next meeting or to a future ESICM education day.

**ESICM education day**

Due to lack of time, this topic has to be moved to a next meeting. Possibly, such an event will be organized by ESICM DPD.

**Any other business (all participants)**

---

**Next meeting**

Sunday September 24, 2017, 14:00-16:30, room tba. During ESICM LIVES 2017, Vienna – Austria (note change in date/time, as compared to announcement during last meeting!).

**Attachment**

Slides, presented by HUR during the meeting
Slides to the presentations of N Weiler and J Tulleken
CoBa ECHO syllabus 29-11-2016